



FEDERAL RESERVE BANK *of* NEW YORK

**Thoughts on the Future of the Appraisal Industry
Collateral Risk Network, April 8, 2015
Joseph Tracy**

These views are my own and not necessarily the view of the Federal Reserve Bank of NY or the Federal Reserve System

Summary points:

- Collateral valuation is critical to mortgage lending
- There is a distribution of values not a specific value for a property
 - Not clear point in the distribution should be reflected by an appraisal
 - Appraisals are relative not fundamental valuations
 - Should the appraiser know the contract price?
- Appraisal industry is at risk from “disruptive” forces
 - Key question:
 - When is an “expert” needed and how does the expert add value?
 - Proactive strategy is needed to deal with disruptive forces

- Collateral valuation is critical for residential real estate loans
 - Many collateralized loans have both initial and variation margin
 - If the collateral value declines, borrower will have a margin call requiring the borrower to add in additional equity
 - If borrower fails to make the margin call, lender can foreclose on loan
 - Combination maintains the borrower's equity over time limiting both the probability of default and loss given default
 - Mortgages only have initial margin – downpayment
 - Increases risk that a borrower can become “underwater”
 - Puts even more importance on the appraisal underlying the initial LTV
 - Holding house prices constant, the initial equity cushion is only slowly increased (if at all) through debt amortization

- Collateral valuation is critical for residential real estate loans – con't
 - Distribution of possible values for any property
 - What point in the distribution should be the appraised value?
 - Valuation exercise more difficult in a low transaction environment (or where there is a high fraction of distressed sales)
 - How should the appraiser reflect his/her uncertainty over the appraised value?
 - The holder of the credit risk would likely lower the appraised value (and hence the origination LTV) to account for the greater uncertainty

- Should appraisals prevent speculative house price bubbles?
 - Similar question asked of Rating Agencies and their valuation of PLS.
 - Also criticism after S&L crisis [House Committee on Gov't Operations, 1986]
- Three evaluation approaches: cost, income and sales
 - All three are a relative not a fundamental valuation exercise
 - Does not indicate if current market prices are aligned with fundamentals
 - Sales comparison approach (dominant method) clearly is a relative valuation
 - Under current market and lending conditions what would a “comparable” house sell for?
 - Cost approach is also difficult to use to judge fundamental value
 - Replacement cost can be estimated using a “through the cycle” costing of labor and materials
 - Land price is where any speculative mispricing will show up – difficult to determine the “fundamental” land value.

- Descriptive statistics on appraisals
 - How closely aligned should appraisals be with contract prices in an “ideal” setting?
 - Buyers and appraisers are carrying out a similar valuation exercise
 - Real estate agents discuss “comparables” with their clients as part of the pricing and bidding discussions
 - One distinction is that the buyer is aware of the “match quality” between their preferences and the house.
 - Different sellers will set the contract price at different points in the value distribution for their property
 - How patient or anxious are they to sell the property?
 - Are they selling for a nominal gain or loss?
 - Expect to see a strong correlation between contract prices and appraisals
 - Appraisal values higher and lower than the contract price
 - No reason to expect bunching at the contract price.

- In contrast, data show a very sizeable bunching of appraisals at the contract price with relatively more appraisals above than below the contract price
 - Cho and Megbolugbe (1996) study of 1993 Fannie Mae loan acquisition file – **30%** appraisals equaled the contract price, 65% above and 5% below
 - Suggests that pressure is exerted on appraiser to “hit the price” in order for the sale to go through.
 - Buyer may/may not want an objective appraisal -- independent valuation check vs ability to purchase house
 - Sellers, real estate agents and lenders who do not retain the credit risk have a financial incentive to complete the sales transaction.
- Should appraisals be done w/o knowledge of the contract price?

- Impact of the Home Valuation Code of Conduct
 - Does it help to mitigate the upward bias in appraisals?
 - Recent study: Ding & Nakamura (2014)
 - Have data on appraised values and contract prices for approved and denied mortgages
 - Study the impact of HVCC by comparing pre- and post-enactment as well as across mortgages covered and not covered (above and below the conforming loan limit)
 - Findings:
 - The chance of an appraised value below the contract price increased by 17%
 - Associated increased in likelihood that mortgage was not approved.
 - The chance of an appraised value 5% or greater than the contract price decreased by 15%

- Future of appraisal industry
 - Internet/technology, big data and inexpensive computing power have been “disruptive” in many industries
 - Travel agents
 - Used to book a high percentage of all airline travel
 - Now specialize in managing discounts for large businesses and specialized trip planning
 - Core business of booking travel migrated to the internet
 - Blockbuster Video
 - Dominant position in the video renting business
 - Replaced by Netflix streaming service
 - Taxi
 - Medallions in NYC have exceeded \$1 million
 - Uber has resulted in a decline in value by at least 20 percent

- The appraisal industry is not immune from these disruptive forces
 - Automated valuation models are becoming more sophisticated over time
 - AVMs have a high up-front cost but nearly zero marginal cost
 - Potential advantages of AVMs
 - Very low cost and quick turnaround for a valuation relative to an appraisal
 - AVMs are not influenced by the contract price
 - Important question: as AVMs continue to evolve, what is the comparative advantage to having an “expert” appraise the property?
 - Are some types of properties/neighborhoods inherently more difficult to value w AVM?
 - If so, then AVMs may replace appraisals in some cases, but not others. More targeted use of experts.
 - Can AVM be used instead of an appraisal if borrower is putting significant equity into the purchase?
 - 8-12% of GSE loans in 2009/2010 approved w. AVM [GAO, 2011]

- The appraisal industry is not immune from these disruptive forces – can't
 - Important question: as AVMs continue to evolve, what is the comparative advantage to having an “expert” appraise the property?
 - Can AVMs be used as an input to an appraisal?
 - Role of the appraiser would be to adjust the valuation produced by the AVM based on those factors not well accounted for by the AVM
 - Fannie Mae’s new program
 - Right now appraisers have a near monopoly on house valuation for mortgage underwriting
 - Assuming that a monopoly will continue is a strategy for possibly losing that dominant position in the future.
 - A proactive strategy is needed

References:

Cho, Man and Isasac F. Megbolugbe. “An Empirical Analysis of Property Appraisal and Mortgage Redlining.” *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, Vol. 13, 1996: 45-57.

Ding, Lei and Leonard Nakamura. “The Impact of the Home Valuation Code of Conduct on Appraisal and Mortgage Outcomes.” Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia Working Paper 14-23, August 2014.

House Committee on Government Operations. Impact of Appraisal Problems on Real Estate Lending, Mortgage Insurance, and Investment in the Secondary Market. 99th Congress, 2nd Session, 1986, H. Rep. 99-991: 4-6.

United States Government Accountability Office. “Residential Appraisals: Opportunities to Enhance Oversight of an Evolving Industry.” July 2011.